

a762038

Mathematics Achievement Among Malaysian Students: What Can They Learn From Singapore

By:

Noor Azina Ismail and Halimah Awang

(Paper presented at the 3rd IEA International Research Conference held on 18-20 September 2008 in Taipei, Taiwan)

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya

A514875440

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

Mathematics Achievement among Malaysian Students: What Can They Learn from Singapore

Noor Azina Ismail, University of Malaya, nazina@um.edu.my Halimah Awang, University of Malaya, halima@um.edu.my

Abstract

Malaysia is ranked 16th and 10th in mathematics based on the Trends in Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) in 1999 and 2003, respectively while its neighbor, Singapore, used to be part of Malaysia until 1965, is ranked first in both years. Hence, it is the aim of this study to investigate what makes Singaporean students better in mathematics performance compared to Malaysian students. However, this study is limited to investigating factors that are collected by TIMSS in student, teacher and school background questionnaire. It is hoped that the findings from this study will provide useful inputs to improve mathematics learning among Malaysian students.

Keywords: achievement, secondary analysis, comparative studies, TIMSS

Introduction

The eighth grade students from Singapore were ranked first in mathematics among participating countries in the Trends in Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS). On the other hand, its neighbor, Malaysia was ranked 16th and 10th in 1999 and 2003 respectively on the same study (Mullis et al, 2000; Mullis et al, 2004). One begins to wonder as to why Singapore has done exceptionally well compared to Malaysia when the country was once part of Sultanate of Johor, Malaysia between 16th and early 19th century until it became an independent republic in 1965. Is it something about its students, teachers and/or school system that lead to Singapore's superiority over Malaysia in as far as mathematics performance is concerned? Thus it is the interest of this paper to examine the factors that contribute to the differences in mathematics achievement between the two countries.

Education in both countries is managed or under the jurisdiction of Ministry of Education in each respective country. However, with an area of around 470 times bigger and a population of 6 times more than Singapore, it is expected that the implementation of education policies and plan in Malaysia is not as easy as Singapore.

Admission age to the first year of primary schooling is seven and primary education takes six years for normal students in both countries. Exceptionally bright students in Malaysia may have spent one year shorter in primary education because they could move from Year 3 to Year 5, skipping Year 4 if they did well in the First Level Assessment examination or known as *Penilaian Tahap Satu* (PTS). However, this exam was removed in 2001. Students in both countries were also required to sit for the national examination before they could proceed to secondary education. In Malaysia this examination is called Ujian Pencapaian Sekolah Rendah (UPSR) or Primary School Assessment Examination. Students who perform well in this examination have the opportunity of being offered a place in government funded boarding schools but due to limited places, priority has always been given to students from lower income families and those from the rural areas. In Singapore, it is called the Primary School Leaving Examination (PSLE) and the primary purpose of this examination is to eventually allocate places in secondary schools to students based on their performance.

Unlike Singapore, where English is the medium of instruction, Malaysia uses the national language (Bahasa Malaysia) as the main medium of instruction in all government schools except for international schools. Only in 2002, English language was made the medium instruction for mathematics and science subjects in secondary schools.

As mentioned earlier, Singapore students are placed in different secondary education tracks depending on their performance in PSLE. Students are divided into two categories: express and normal. Express is a four-year course leading up to a Singapore-Cambridge General certificate of Education Ordinary-level (O-level) examination. Normal is a four-year course leading up to a Normal-level examination with the possibility of a fifth year leading to an O-level. In Malaysia, secondary education is divided into lower and upper secondary with a period of 3 and 2 years respectively. Upon completion of the lower secondary, students sit for a common examination called the Lower Secondary Assessment (PMR). Based on this examination, students may choose a combination of available subjects in the first year of upper secondary according to their interest. In the last year of upper secondary, students sit for Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia (SPM), Malaysian Certificate of Education, which is equivalent to the British Ordinary or O Levels.

Methodology

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

This paper aims to investigate and compare the mathematics achievement in the two countries using TIMSS 2003 data with the hope that it would reveal important determining factors that could be used to improve mathematics learning and achievement among students in Malaysia. The variables used in this analysis include characteristics of students, resources for learning, how they spend their time out of school, their self-confidence in learning mathematics and the value they place on mathematics, teacher and school characteristics in both countries. However, the study will not investigate on effect of the curriculum or content areas and instructional practices on mathematics achievement.

To include the variables mentioned above, we need to use all three questionnaires and responses used in TIMSS 2003. These questionnaires aim to obtain background information from students, teachers and schools. The data consists of 150 schools and teachers as well as 5314 eighth grade students from Malaysia and 164 schools and teachers together with 6018 eighth grade students from Singapore. The average age of the sampled students at the time of testing was 14.33 years for both countries. Mathematics achievement in this study is represented by the average of five plausible values. Almost 94 per cent of Singaporean students obtain a score above the international average whereas only 70 per cent of Malaysian students are in this category.

This study will employ chi-square tests to investigate the differences in distribution of each variable between the two countries. The t-test and ANOVA will be used to relate each of these variables with mathematics achievement in these countries.

Discussion

Table 1 presents some basic information about the grades tested in TIMSS 2003 for both countries. The policy on age of entry to primary school and the automatic promotion of grades at the primary years are similar but the promotion to secondary education or grade 7 is quite different between the two countries. While going to grade 7 is automatic for grade 6 students in Malaysia, students in Singapore must satisfy basic requirements on national examination before they are allowed to proceed to grade 7. This means that the grade 8 students in Singapore sample could be more homogeneous since all of them have had some kind of screening.

As can be observed in Table 2, Malaysia's population in 2003 was six times larger than

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

that of Singapore but the latter enjoys lower infant mortality rate, longer life expectancy and expectedly higher human development index (HDI). With per capita income of almost seven times that of Malaysia, Singapore is the most developed nation in ASEAN. It is interesting to note though that the ratio of primary pupil to teacher is larger in Singapore compared to Malaysia.

The distribution of mathematics scores shown in Table 3 clearly indicates how well students in Singapore students have done in TIMSS 2003 with more than 50 percent of them achieved more than the average score of 603 compared with only 10 percent among Malaysian students. Expectedly the proportion of students in Singapore with achievements lower than the International average is very small (6%) compared to students in Malaysia (31%) even though the Malaysian average score is much higher (508).

Comparison of mathematics achievement between the two countries is presented in Table 4 indicating that Singapore's average scores is significantly higher than Malaysia's not only in terms of the overall performance but also in each of the five mathematics content areas. However, students in both countries exhibit the best and worst performance in the same content areas, Fraction and Geometry, respectively.

Table 5 shows the distribution of students' characteristics which could have significant influence on mathematics achievement. Singapore registers a higher proportion of boys (51%) compared to Malaysia (42%) and higher proportion of parents with at least a university education (16% and 11%, respectively). Surprisingly, almost 60 percent of Singaporean students' parents completed lower secondary schooling and below compared to 42 percent of Malaysian students' parents. It is also somewhat surprising that the proportion of students who aspire to finish university regardless of their parents' education is significantly higher in Malaysia (65%) than in Singapore (56%).

It should be noted that Malaysia and Singapore do not have a common language of the test. The language of the test in Malaysia is Bahasa Malaysia (Malay) while English was used to conduct TIMSS 2003 in Singapore. It should also be noted that Malaysia's population consists of three main ethnic groups namely, Malays which comprise more than 50 percent, Chinese about 30 percent and Indians about 10 percent and thus is expected that majority of the students always or almost always speak the language of the test at home (65%). However, it is somewhat surprising that Singapore registers a much lower proportion of students who always or almost always speak the language of test at home (43%) because English is the

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

medium of instruction throughout the schooling system.

In terms of educational resources and aids, there is no doubt that students in Singapore are well ahead of their counterparts in Malaysia especially with regard to computer ownership and usage. More than 94 percent of Singapore students own a computer and 78 percent of them use computer both at home and school compared with only 56 percent and 25 percent, respectively, of the Malaysian students. However, in terms of the students' perception and attitudes, students in Malaysia report a higher proportion of high index of being safe in school while there is no difference in the proportion of high index of self-confidence in learning mathematics. And although the proportion of high index of students valuing mathematics is higher among Malaysian students, the reverse is true of the proportion of high index of student spending time on mathematics homework.

Further analyses of the average mathematics achievement with respect to the variables mentioned above are shown in Table 6. Both countries register significant gender differences with girls scoring higher than boys, and that achievement significantly increases with educational level of the parents, students' aspiration relative to parents' education, ownership of books and study desk, computer ownership and usage as well as index of students' perception and attitudes towards mathematics learning. However, contrasting results in average achievement are observed across the language spoken at home. Among Malaysian students mathematics scores significantly increases with decreasing frequency of speaking the language of test at home while among students in Singapore the reverse is true.

Examining mathematics achievement across teacher's characteristics reveals interesting results. In Malaysia, students with female teachers achieve significantly higher scores than those with male teachers. Teacher's participation in the development of mathematics content as well as mathematics curriculum have significant positive impact on students' performance and that average achievement significantly increases with increasing index of teacher's reports on teaching mathematics classes with few or no limitation on instruction due to student factors. In contrast none of the teacher related factors matter in as far as mathematics achievement is concerned among students in Singapore. Further analysis of the distribution of teacher's characteristics between the two countries using Chi-square tests shows no significant difference except for the index of teacher's reports (Table 8).

School related characteristics are found to have significant influence on students' mathematics scores in both countries as shown in Table 9 and that the distribution of students

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

coming from economically disadvantaged homes, index of principal's perception of school climate and index of good school and class attendance differ significantly between Malaysia and Singapore (Table 10). Among Singaporean students mathematics achievement significantly increase with increasing level of socio-economic status, index of principal's perception of school climate and index of good school and class attendance. Similar results are found among students in Malaysia except for the GSCA index where students with low GSCA index register a higher average achievement in mathematics than those with medium GSCA index.

Conclusion and Implications

This study reveals several significant and important findings with respect to mathematics achievement among eighth grade students in Singapore and Malaysia. There are significant differences in the overall average achievement as well as in all the five mathematics content areas between the two countries with Singaporean students exhibiting superiority over Malaysian students. Examination of mathematics achievement across student, teacher and school related variables within each country shows both similar and contrasting results. For example female students in both countries achieve significantly higher scores than their respective male counterparts and that achievement significantly increase with increasing level parents' educational level, students' aspirations relative to parents' education and index of students' attitude and self-concept in learning mathematics. The data also shows that except for gender of teacher, participation of teacher in the development of mathematics content and curriculum, the distribution of the other variables in consideration differ significantly between the two countries and with the exception of these three teacher related factors, all the other factors contribute significantly to the differences in mathematics achievement among students in Singapore. However, unlike Singapore differences in achievement among Malaysian students are found to be significant across student, teacher and school characteristics.

It is clear from this study that mathematics teachers matter in Malaysia while they do not in Singapore and since there are no significant differences between the two countries in as far as teacher related characteristics are concerned, the substantial difference in mathematics achievement could then be due to other factors. Firstly, it is important to note that Singapore sample of students in TIMSS 2003 are more homogeneous in terms of location of schools which are all urban based compared to Malaysian sample. The homogeneity could also be due

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

to the fact that there is some form of screening of students in Grade 6 going to Grade 7 being practiced in Singapore while promotion to secondary schooling in Malaysia is automatic.

Secondly, English is the medium of instruction for all subjects in Singapore schools where as in Malaysian government schools, only in 2001 that English was introduced as the medium of instruction for the teaching of mathematics and science subjects. This explains why contrasting results were obtained between the two countries with respect to the language of test spoken at home. Malaysian students, majority are Malays who speak the language of test which is the national language or Bahasa Malaysia at home, have difficulty learning mathematics in English.

Another big difference between students in the two countries is with regard to study aid, especially ownership of books and computers as well as computer usage. There is variation in digital divide between urban and rural schools and between developed and less developed states in Malaysia while this is non existence in Singapore.

One aspect that is not covered in this study that could have significant impact on mathematics achievement is instructional strategies although the index of time students spend on mathematics homework and level of computer usage do reflect some aspect of it. Singapore is of course far well ahead of Malaysia in this respect.

Reference

Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Beaton, A. E., Gonzalez, E. J., Gregory, K. D., Garden, R. A., O' Connor,
K. M., Chrostowski, S. J., & Smith, T. A. (2000). TIMSS 1999: International mathematics
report; Findings from IEA's Third International Mathematics and Science Study at the eighth
grade. IEA International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement, Boston
College.

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

Mullis, I. V. S., Martin M. O., Gonzalez, E. J., & Chrostowski, S. J. (2004). TIMSS 2003 International

Mathematics Report: Findings from IEA's Trends in International Mathematics and Science

Study at the fourth and eighth grades. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, Lynch

School of Education, Boston College.

Table 1: Information about the Grades Tested in TIMSS 2003

Information	Malaysia	Singapore
Policy on Age of Entry to	Children must be 6	Children must be 6 years old
Primary School	years old by January 1	1 27 1 112 1 84
Sheeps Standardon Armenda	of the academic year	67 1 26 1 113 1
Practice on Age of Entry	6 or older	6
to Primary School	with the larger and the transfer	e Antonio de Toro constrato
Policy on	Automatic	Automatic in grades $1-5$, students in
Promotion/Retention	Malerella	grade 6 must satisfy basic requirements
N	5.11	on national exam to be promoted to
Charles Statement Co.		grade 7
Country's name for grade	Form 2	Secondary 2
tested		700 (000)
Years of schooling	8	8
Average age at time of	14.3	14.3
testing		47A.00

Source: TIMSS 2003 International Mathematics Report (2004) by Ina V. S. Mullis, Michael O. Martin, Eugenio J. Gonzalez and Steven J. Chrostowski

Table 2: Selected Characteristics of TIMSS 2003 Countries

Characteristics	Malaysia	Singapore
Population Size (in million)	24.3	4.2
Area of Country (1000 square kilometers)	330	1
Life Expectancy at Birth (Years)	73	78
Infant Mortality Rate (per 1000 Live Births)	8	3

Noor Azina Ismail & Halimah Awang

Gross National Income per Capita (in US Dollars)	3540	20690
GNI per Capita (Purchasing Power Parity)	8500	23730
Net Enrollment Ratio in Primary Education (% of Relevant Group)	95	-
Net Enrollment Ratio in Secondary Education (% of Relevant Group)	69	-
Primary Pupil-Teacher Ratio	19.6	25.4
Human Development Index	0.790	0.884

Source: TIMSS 2003 International Mathematics Report (2004) by Ina V. S. Mullis, Michael O. Martin, Eugenio J. Gonzalez and Steven J. Chrostowski

Table 3: Distribution of Mathematics Scores

Score	Malaysia	Singapore	Total
International Average (467) and below	30.9	6.4	17.9
Above International Average to Malaysian Average (508)	51.8	28.4	39.3
Above Malaysian Average to Singapore Average (602)	7.7	11.2	9.6
Above Singapore Average	9.7	54.0	33.2

Table 4: Differences in Mathematics Score Among the Two countries

UKSUA Special Responses /	Cou	3 28 10 3 10 10 10 1	
Score	Malaysia	Singapore	p-value of t-test
N	5314	6018	
Overall Mathematics	508.60	602.20	< 0.001
Score			fill that I was no
Algebra	495.25	586.49	< 0.001
Data	505.18	576.75	< 0.001
Fraction	524.54	614.59	< 0.001
Geometry	494.47	.47 576.43	< 0.001
Measurement	504.13	607.43	< 0.001

Table 5: Characteristics of Students

Characteristics of Students	Category	Malaysia	Singapore	Total	p-value of χ² test
Gender	Girl	57.8	48.8	53.0	<0.001
CONTRIBET	Boy	42.2	51.2	47.0	
Parents Highest	Finish university or	10.9	15.5	13.3	<0.001

Education Level	equivalent or higher				
	Finish at least secondary	47.1	25.0	35.6	
	level but not university				
	Finish lower secondary	24.5	48.1	36.7	
	schooling				
	No more than primary	17.5	11.4	14.3	
Students education	Finish university and	9.7	13.3	11.6	< 0.001
aspirations relative to	either parent went to				
parents education level	university or equivalent	31.7		47.7	-0.091
	Finish university but	54.8	43.1	48.7	
	neither parent went to			10.2	
	university or equivalent				
	Not finish university	24.9	28.4	26.7	
	regardless of parent	18.5	39.9	388	
	education	44.5	73.9	10.2	
	Do not know regardless	10.5	15.2	13.0	
	of parent education				
Often speak language	Always	50.4	23.3	36.0	< 0.001
of test at home	Almost Always	14.8	19.3	17.2	
	Sometimes	27.9	49.2	39.2	
	Never	6.9	8.3	7.6	
Number of books in	None or very few (0-10	17.1	12.5	14.7	< 0.001
your home	books)				
	One shelf (11-25 books)	40.1	24.6	31.9	
	One bookcase (26-100	28.2	33.4	31.0	
	books)				
	Two bookcases (101-200	8.9	15.8	12.5	
	books)				
	Three or more bookcases	5.7	13.8	10.0	
	(>200 books)				
Home possess study	Yes	87.6	90.4	89.1	<0.001
desk	No	12.4	9.6	10.9	
Home possess	Yes	56.8	94.1	76.7	<0.001
computer	No	43.2	5.9	23.3	
Availability of	Use computer both at	25.1	78.1	53.5	<0.001

computer	home and school	21.525	61	22356	
	Use computer at home but not at school	26.5	14.8	20.3	
	Use computer at school but not at home	24.2	5.5	14.1	
Similarita referenciale in	Use computer only at places other than home or do not use at all	24.3	1.6	12.2	-3.69
Index of student	High	51.7	44.2	47.7	<0.001
perception of being	Medium	40.8	43.2	42.0	
safe in school (SPBSS)	Low	7.6	12.6	10.2	
Index of	High	38.5	39.0	38.8	<0.001
self-confidence in	Medium	45.3	33.9	39.2	
learning mathematics (SCM)	Low	16.2	27.1	22.0	
Index of students	High	77.9	63.8	70.4	< 0.001
valuing mathematics	Medium	21.4	31.4	26.7	station.
(SVM)	Low	0.7	4.8	2.9	
Index of time on	High	33.0	37.9	35.6	< 0.001
mathematics	Medium	55.8	51.0	53.3	en bor
homework (TMH)	Low	11.2	11.1	11.2	

Table 6: Differences in Means

	Malay		ysia	Singapore	
Variables	Categories	Mean	p-value	Mean	p-value
	Two Bookeses	Score		Score	
Gender	Girl	512.1385	< 0.001	608.2070	<0.001
	Boy	503.7568		596.4771	
Parents Highest	Finish university or	545.7464	< 0.001	644.5178	<0.001
Education Level	equivalent or higher				

	Finish at least	521.5286	37-1225	617.2856	
	secondary level but				
	not university	SAR TEN			
	Finish lower	496.0411		597.8530	
	secondary schooling	190 1000			
	No more than primary	482.9198		569.5420	
Students education	Finish university and	550.1332	< 0.001	648.4953	< 0.001
aspirations relative to	either parent went to				
parents education level	university or	200			
	equivalent				
	Finish university but	516.4770		623.7637	
	neither parent went to				
	university or				
	equivalent				
	Not finish university	485.4389	Va.000	565.1771	
	regardless of parent	in the			
	education				
	Do not know	508.4914		599.7761	
	regardless of parent			801 S185	
	education				
Often speak language of	Always	490.4438	<0.001	621.6135	<0.001
test at home	Almost Always	509.5871		616.1709	
	Sometimes	530.5822		591.8995	
	Never	550.6853		576.6155	
Number of books in	None Or Very Few	474.6524	< 0.001	553.0663	<0.001
your home	(0-10 Books)				
	One Shelf (11-25	497.4163		578.8126	
	Books)				
	One Bookcase	525.5488		613.7643	
	(26-100 Books)				
	Two Bookcases	540.8780		623.0313	
	(101-200 Books)				
	Three Or More	556.1203		636.9755	
	Bookcases (>200				
	Books)				

DER PLISTAKAAN UNIVERSILLI ME

Proceedings of the 3rd IEA International Research Conference

Home possess study	Yes	511.5622	< 0.001	606.1434	<0.001
desk	No	489.5567		566.4155	
Home possess	Yes	526.0765	< 0.001	606.1653	< 0.001
computer	No	486.2853		540.4483	
Availability Of	Use computer both at	539.1198	< 0.001	611.0053	< 0.001
Computer	home and school				
	Use computer at home	528.5997	-01001	587.9800	0.131
	but not at school				
	Use computer at	490.2774		538.4948	
	school but not at home			544.0777	
	Use computer only at	477.7659		537.4113	
	places other than	Section 1			
	home or do not use	Managari	Same		
	computer at all				of si
Index of student	High	517.0834	< 0.001	614.6541	< 0.001
perception of being safe	Medium	501.1759		598.2520	9 100
in school (SPBSS)	Low	492.8745		573.9248	
Index of	High	546.0560	< 0.001	635.2171	< 0.001
self-confidence in	Medium	490.3007		591.5126	
learning mathematics	Low	471.7448		568.5869	
(SCM)					6.575
Index of students	High	515.1316	< 0.001	612.8612	<0.001
valuing mathematics	Medium	486.8400		588.3184	
(SVM)	Low	455.1655		554.6826	10.161
Index of time on	High	515.8836	< 0.001	618.0197	< 0.001
mathematics homework	Medium	509.7004		601.0755	
(TMH)	Low	484.5889		562.0714	

Table 7: Differences in means between teacher's characteristics among the two countries

	Malaysia Singa		pore		
Variables	Categories	Mean	p-value	Mean	p-value
		Score		Score	

Sex of teachers	Female	516.8760	0.001	601.9629	0.731
	Male	484.6620		599.0367	
Teacher's participation in	Yes	515.902	0.013	601.0832	0.987
development of Math content	No	491.910		600.9369	
Teacher's participation in	Yes	517.005	0.009	599.9705	0.735
development of Math Curriculum	No	491.941		602.7272	
Index of teacher's reports on	High	529.6016	< 0.001	611.68	0.121
teaching Mathematics classes with				63	
few or no limitation on instruction	Medium	485.5834		595.6496	
due to student factors (MCFL)	Low	466.9453		594.0351	

Table 8: Characteristics of teachers

Characteristics of Teachers	Category	Malaysia	Singapore	Total	p-value of χ² test
Sex of teachers	Female	72.5	66.6	68.4	0.197
	Male	27.5	33.4	31.6	
Teacher's participation	Yes	67.1	75.3	72.7	0.063
in development of Math content	No	32.9	24.7	27.3	
Teacher's participation	Yes	64.9	60.1	61.6	0.325
in development of Math Curriculum	No	35.1	39.9	38.4	
Index of teacher's	High	54.4	34.0	40.4	<0.001
reports on teaching	Medium	37.1	41.1	40.0	
Mathematics classes with few or no limitation on instruction due to student factors (MCFL)	Low	8.1	24.8	19.6	

The Proceedings of IRC 2008

Table 9: Differences in means between school's characteristics among the two countries

		Malaysia		Malaysia Singap		oore	
Variables	Categories	Mean	p-value	Mean	p-value		
		Score		Score			
Students coming from	0 to 10	549.3830	0.006	614.5903	<0.001		
economically disadvantaged	11 to 25	526.8228		595.1565			
homes	26 to 50	513.1465		566.0584			
	>50	497.6376		572.5419			
Index of principal's perception of	High	537.6151	0.007	645.4136	<0.001		
school climate	Medium	503.1126		588.4722			
	Low	490.8208		556.7344			
Index of good school and class	High	531.0384	0.044	616.5186	0.002		
attendance (GSCA)	Medium	501.4766		593.9968			
	Low	509.0602		565.9910			

Table 10: Characteristics of Schools

Characteristics of	Category	Malaysia	Singapore	Total	p-value
Teachers					of χ^2
					test
Students coming from	0 to 10	8.0	53.8	31.5	< 0.001
economically	11 to 25	12.7	27.8	20.5	
disadvantaged homes	26 to 50	16.0	12.0	14.0	
	>50	63.3	6.3	34.1	
Index of principal's	High	17.6	26.9	22.4	0.044
perception of school	Medium	70.9	67.5	69.2	
climate	Low	11.5	5.6	8.4	
Index of good school	High	18.7	40.0	29.7	< 0.001
and class attendance	Medium	68.7	55.0	61.6	
(GSCA)	Low	12.7	5.0	8.7	