Investigation of Engine Emission with Diesel-Palm Biodiesel-Antioxidant Blend
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Abstract
Similar properties of biodiesel to conventional diesel have made biodiesel as a promising fuel. However, its NOx emission was reported higher by most researchers in the world. In this study, antioxidants are used in the effort of improving the oxidation stability of biodiesel and reducing the NOx emission while maintaining the engine performance. p-Phenylenediamine (PPD) and N, N'-diphenyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPPD) are added in 0.025 wt% and 0.15 wt% concentrations, respectively into palm oil methyl ester-diesel blend. The performance characteristics of biodiesel blends are tested on a single cylinder engine with an attached emission analyser. The addition of the PPD and DPPD antioxidants improved the oxidation stability of biodiesel without affecting much in the density and kinematic viscosity. For B20 (20% biodiesel + 80% Euro 5 diesel), the addition of DPPD showed the best results by reducing NO (0.8% lower on average), CO (10.8% lower on average) and HC emission (32.9% lower on average), as compared to B7 blend. However, in terms of engine performance, B20+DPPD showed higher BSFC and lower brake power when compared to B7 blend.
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Introduction
Currently, Malaysia’s diesel is a B7 blend which contains 7% of palm biodiesel and 93% of conventional diesel. Malaysian Biodiesel Association (MBA) is pushing for the implementation of B10 with the cooperation from the Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodity as well as Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). On the other hand, in November 2014, Malaysia introduced Euro 5-grade diesel, which results in lower exhaust emission and improved air quality.

Meanwhile, many researchers reported that biodiesel improved the exhaust emission components of particulate matter (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), unburned hydrocarbon (HC) and smoke compared to conventional diesel [Li et al., 2015, Millo et al., 2015]. In addition, biodiesel is useful to reduce CO2 emission through the life cycle. However, higher NOx emission than conventional diesel has been reported by the past studies [Li et al., 2015, Millo et al., 2015]. Furthermore, the engine performance from biodiesel fuel was reported to be lower than that of conventional diesel, in terms of brake power output and brake thermal efficiency (BTE).
Therefore, a lot of innovative solutions either through engine enhancements or fuel enhancements had been conducted by researchers in order to improve physicochemical properties and emission characteristics of biodiesel. In this regard, addition of antioxidants to biodiesel blend has the potential to improve physicochemical properties and exhaust emission of biodiesel blend [Barrios et al., 2014, Palash et al., 2014]. Although many studies have been experimentally done with various antioxidants [Barrios et al., 2014, Palash et al., 2014], the present study uses \( p \)-Phenylenediamine (PPD) and \( N,N' \)-diphenyl-\( p \)-phenylenediamine (DPPD) with B10 and B20 of palm biodiesel blend in the conventional diesel engine.

**Material and Methods**

Euro 5 diesel is chosen as a baseline fuel to evaluate its performance and emission characteristics in a diesel engine. All diesel sold in Malaysia consists of 7% blend of biodiesel (B7). Biodiesel, PPD antioxidant and DPPD antioxidant are purchased from local suppliers. Based on the research by Varatharajan et al. [2011] and Varatharajan et al. [2013], the optimal concentrations to reduce NOx emission for DPPD and PPD are 0.15 wt% and 0.025 wt%, respectively. These concentrations will be adapted in the present study.

The Rancimat instrument is used to determine the oxidation stability of biodiesel (B100). Stabinger viscometer is used to measure the kinematic viscosity and density of the fuels. For engine performance test, B10 and B20 blends were used and benchmarked with B7 blend. The engine performance test was carried out on a 0.6L single-cylinder, 4-stroke, direct injection diesel engine. The test engine is directly coupled to a 20kW eddy current dynamometer. BOSCH BEA150 emission analyzer is used to analyze the exhaust gases such as carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbon (HC) and nitric oxide (NO). All the tests were conducted at Energy Efficiency and Heat Engine Laboratory of Mechanical Engineering Department, University of Malaya.

**Results and Discussions**

The addition of antioxidant into biodiesel slightly increased the kinematic viscosity by 0.6% for DPPD and 0.1% for PPD. Higher kinematic viscosity implies that the fuel receives higher resistance during the flow in the fuel line, which leads to higher delay in the start of ignition [Hoekman et al., 2012]. Oxidation stability of B100 showed 18.6 hours of induction period, which meets both ASTM D6751 and EN 14214 standard specifications. The addition of both DPPD and PPD into B100 increased the oxidation stability up to more than 23 hours of induction period.

Highest reduction in engine brake power can be observed with B10+PPD and B20+DPPD, with 4.4% and 4.3% reductions, respectively compared to B7 at an engine speed of 1900 rpm (Figure 1.1). Generally, the addition of antioxidants reduces the engine brake power. The possible reason is the higher density and kinematic viscosity which leads to poor atomization and low combustion efficiency [Haşimoglu et al., 2008].

At 1100-1900 rpm, BSFC increased between 3.1% and 8.9% for B10+PPD and B20+DPPD as compared to B7 (Figure 1.2). The possible reason of the increment is the lower heating value of biodiesel, in which more fuel is needed to produce the same amount of power. However, at higher engine speed (2300rpm), all biodiesel+antioxidant blends caused reductions in BSFC within the range of 10.2% to 20.3% in contrast to B7. The reduction in BSFC might be due to
the friction reduction properties of the aromatic amine based antioxidants [Varathanajan et al., 2011].

At 1100 rpm, the NO emissions of biodiesel blends are comparatively higher than B7. However, the difference reduced with increasing engine speed (Figure 1.1). The NO emission eventually reduced at 2300 rpm, with B10+PPD and B20+DPPD showed reduction in NO emission by 4.5% and 7.1%, respectively. The reductions in NO emission from biodiesel+antioxidant mixtures are mainly due to the suppression of peroxyl free radical formations by reaction with aromatic amine antioxidants [Varathanajan et al., 2013].

Besides that, B20+DPPD showed the best CO reduction among the biodiesel blends, within the range of 3.1% to 22.8% as compared to B7 (Figure 1.2). The possible reason is due to its higher oxygen content and higher cetane number [Kivevele et al., 2011]. B20+DPPD also reduced the HC emission by 19.1% to 50% in contrast to B7 (Figure 1.3). This is due to the antioxidant that increases the cetane number of the fuel, in which HC emission is reduced [Kivevele et al., 2013].

Conclusions

DPPD antioxidant showed better emission characteristics than PPD. As the Malaysian Biodiesel Association pushes the government to implement B10 and B20 in stages, DPPD can be considered to improve emission characteristics of biodiesel in the future.
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Figure 1.1 Variation in engine brake power and NO emission at different engine speeds at full load condition.
Figure 1.2 Variation in engine brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) and CO emission at different engine speeds at full load condition.
Figure 1.3 Variation in engine unburned hydrocarbon (HC) emission at different engine speeds at full load condition.