Hindawi Publishing Corporation
International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Volume 2010, Article ID 743074, 9 pages
doi:10.1155/2010/743074

Research Article

Some Results on Warped Product Submanifolds of a Sasakian Manifold

Siraj Uddin,[1] V. A. Khan,[2] and Huzoor H. Khan[2]

[1] *Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*
[2] *Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202 002, India*

Correspondence should be addressed to Siraj Uddin, siraj.ch@gmail.com

Received 5 October 2009; Revised 18 December 2009; Accepted 13 February 2010

Academic Editor: Frédéric Robert

We study warped product Pseudo-slant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds. We prove a theorem for the existence of warped product submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold in terms of the canonical structure F.

1. Introduction

The notion of slant submanifold of almost contact metric manifold was introduced by Lotta [1]. Latter, Cabrerizo et al. investigated slant and semislant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold and obtained many interesting results [2, 3].

The notion of warped product manifolds was introduced by Bishop and O'Neill in [4]. Latter on, many research articles appeared exploring the existence or nonexistence of warped product submanifolds in different spaces (cf. [5–7]). The study of warped product semislant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds was introduced by Sahin [8]. Recently, Hasegawa and Mihai proved that warped product of the type $N_\perp \times_\lambda N_T$ in Sasakian manifolds is trivial where N_T and $N_\perp$ are ϕ–invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, respectively [9].

In this paper we study warped product submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold. We will see in this paper that for a warped product of the type $M = N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$, if N_1 is any Riemannian submanifold tangent to the structure vector field ξ of a Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ then N_2 is an anti-invariant submanifold and if ξ is tangent to N_2 then there is no warped product. Also, we will show that the warped product of the type $M = N_\perp \times_\lambda N_\theta$ of a Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ is trivial and that the warped product of the type $N_T \times_\lambda N_\perp$ exists and obtains a result in terms of canonical structure.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\overline{M}$ be a $(2m+1)$-dimensional manifold with almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) defined by a $(1,1)$ tensor field ϕ, a vector field ξ, and the dual 1−form η of ξ, satisfying the following properties [10]:

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

There always exists a Riemannian metric g on an almost contact manifold $\overline{M}$ satisfying the following compatibility condition:

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \tag{2.2}$$

An almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$ is called *Sasakian* if

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi\right) Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \tag{2.3}$$

for all X, Y in $T\overline{M}$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of g on $\overline{M}$. From (2.3), it follows that

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X. \tag{2.4}$$

Let M be submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$ with induced metric g and if ∇ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are the induced connections on the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle $T^{\perp}M$ of M, respectively, then Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla^{\perp}_X N, \tag{2.6}$$

for each $X, Y \in TM$ and $N \in T^{\perp}M$, where h and A_N are the second fundamental form and the shape operator (corresponding to the normal vector field N), respectively, for the immersion of M into $\overline{M}$. They are related as

$$g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y), \tag{2.7}$$

where g denotes the Riemannian metric on $\overline{M}$ as well as the one induced on M.

For any $X \in TM$, we write

$$\phi X = PX + FX, \tag{2.8}$$

where PX is the tangential component and FX is the normal component of ϕX.

Similarly, for any $N \in T^{\perp}M$, we write

$$\phi N = tN + fN, \tag{2.9}$$

where tN is the tangential component and fN is the normal component of ϕN. We shall always consider ξ to be tangent to M. The submanifold M is said to be *invariant* if F is identically zero, that is, $\phi X \in TM$ for any $X \in TM$. On the other hand, M is said to be *anti-invariant* if P is identically zero, that is, $\phi X \in T^{\perp}M$, for any $X \in TM$.

For each nonzero vector X tangent to M at x, such that X is not proportional to ξ, we denote by $\theta(X)$ the angle between ϕX and PX.

M is said to be *slant* [3] if the angle $\theta(X)$ is constant for all $X \in TM - \{\xi\}$ and $x \in M$. The angle θ is called *slant angle* or *Wirtinger angle*. Obviously, if $\theta = 0$, M is invariant and if $\theta = \pi/2$, M is an anti-invariant submanifold. If the slant angle of M is different from 0 and $\pi/2$ then it is called *proper slant*.

A characterization of slant submanifolds is given by the following.

Theorem 2.1 (see [3]). *Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$, such that $\xi \in TM$. Then M is slant if and only if there exists a constant $\delta \in [0,1]$ such that*

$$P^2 = \delta(-I + \eta \otimes \xi). \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, in such case, if θ is slant angle, then $\delta = \cos^2\theta$.

Following relations are straightforward consequences of (2.10)

$$g(PX, PY) = \cos^2\theta[g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)], \tag{2.11}$$

$$g(FX, FY) = \sin^2\theta[g(X,Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)] \tag{2.12}$$

for any X, Y tangent to M.

3. Warped and Doubly Warped Product Manifolds

Let (N_1, g_1) and (N_2, g_2) be two Riemannian manifolds and λ a positive differentiable function on N_1. The warped product of N_1 and N_2 is the Riemannian manifold $N_1 \times_\lambda N_2 = (N_1 \times N_2, g)$, where

$$g = g_1 + \lambda^2 g_2. \tag{3.1}$$

A warped product manifold $N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ is said to be *trivial* if the warping function λ is constant. We recall the following general formula on a warped product [4]:

$$\nabla_X V = \nabla_V X = (X \ln \lambda)V, \tag{3.2}$$

where X is tangent to N_1 and V is tangent to N_2.

Let $M = N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ be a warped product manifold then N_1 is totally geodesic and N_2 is totally umbilical submanifold of M, respectively.

Doubly warped product manifolds were introduced as a generalization of warped product manifolds by Ünal [11]. A *doubly warped product manifold* of N_1 and N_2, denoted as ${}_{f_2}N_1 \times_{f_1} N_2$ is the manifold $N_1 \times N_2$ endowed with a metric g defined as

$$g = f_2^2 g_1 + f_1^2 g_2 \tag{3.3}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are positive differentiable functions on N_1 and N_2, respectively.

In this case formula (3.2) is generalized as

$$\nabla_X Z = (X \ln f_1) Z + (Z \ln f_2) X \tag{3.4}$$

for each X in TN_1 and Z in TN_2 [7].

If neither f_1 nor f_2 is constant we have a nontrivial doubly warped product $M = {}_{f_2}N_1 \times_{f_1} N_2$. Obviously in this case both N_1 and N_2 are totally umbilical submanifolds of M.

Now, we consider a doubly warped product of two Riemannian manifolds N_1 and N_2 embedded into a Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ such that the structure vector field ξ is tangent to the submanifold $M = {}_{f_2}N_1 \times_{f_1} N_2$. Consider ξ is tangent to N_1, then for any $V \in TN_2$ we have

$$\nabla_V \xi = (\xi \ln f_1) V + (V \ln f_2) \xi. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus from (2.4), (2.5), (2.8), and (3.5), we get

$$\overline{\nabla}_V \xi = (\xi \ln f_1) V + (V \ln f_2) \xi + h(V, \xi) = -PV - FV. \tag{3.6}$$

On comparing tangential and normal parts and using the fact that ξ, V, and PV are mutually orthogonal vector fields, (3.6) implies that

$$V \ln f_2 = 0, \qquad \xi \ln f_1 = 0, \qquad h(V, \xi) = -FV, \qquad PV = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

This shows that f_2 is constant and N_2 is an anti-invariant submanifold of $\overline{M}$, if the structure vector field ξ is tangent to N_1.

Similarly, if ξ is tangent to N_2 and for any $U \in TN_1$ we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_U \xi = (\xi \ln f_2) U + (U \ln f_1) \xi + h(U, \xi) = -PU - FU, \tag{3.8}$$

which gives

$$U \ln f_1 = 0, \qquad \xi \ln f_2 = 0, \qquad PU = 0, \qquad h(U, \xi) = -FU. \tag{3.9}$$

That is, f_1 is constant and N_1 is an anti-invariant submanifold of $\overline{M}$.

Note 1. From the above conclusion we see that for warped product submanifolds $M = N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ *of a Sasakian manifold* $\overline{M}$*, if the structure vector field* ξ *is tangent to the first factor* N_1 *then second factor* N_2 *is an anti-invariant submanifold. On the other hand the warped product* $M = N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ *is trivial if the structure vector field* ξ *is tangent to* N_2*.*

To study the warped product submanifolds $N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ with structure vector field ξ tangent to N_1, we have obtained the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 (see [12]). *Let* $M = N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ *be a proper warped product submanifold of a Sasakian manifold* $\overline{M}$*, with* $\xi \in TN_1$*, where* N_1 *and* N_2 *are any Riemannian submanifolds of* $\overline{M}$*. Then*

(i) $\xi \ln \lambda = 0$,

(ii) $A_{FZ}X = -th(X, Z)$,

(iii) $g(h(X, Z), FY) = g(h(X, Y), FZ)$,

(iv) $g(h(X, Z), FW) = g(h(X, W), FZ)$

for any $X, Y \in TN_1$ *and* $Z, W \in TN_2$*.*

4. Warped Product Pseudoslant Submanifolds

The study of semislant submanifolds of almost contact metric manifolds was introduced by Cabrerizo et.al. [2]. A semislant submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold $\overline{M}$ is a submanifold which admits two orthogonal complementary distributions $\mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{D}^\theta$ such that $\mathfrak{D}$ is invariant under ϕ and $\mathfrak{D}^\theta$ is slant with slant angle $\theta \neq 0$, that is, $\phi\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}$ and ϕZ makes a constant angle θ with TM for each $Z \in \mathfrak{D}^\theta$. In particular, if $\theta = \pi/2$, then a semislant submanifold reduces to a contact CR-submanifold. For a semislant submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold, we have

$$TM = \mathfrak{D} \oplus \mathfrak{D}^\theta \oplus \{\xi\}. \tag{4.1}$$

Similarly we say that M is an *pseudo-slant submanifold* of $\overline{M}$ if $\mathfrak{D}$ is an anti-invariant distribution of M, that is, $\phi\mathfrak{D} \subseteq T^\perp M$ and $\mathfrak{D}^\theta$ is slant with slant angle $\theta \neq 0$. The normal bundle $T^\perp M$ of an pseudo-slant submanifold is decomposed as

$$T^\perp M = FTM \oplus \mu, \tag{4.2}$$

where μ is an invariant subbundle of $T^\perp M$.

From the above note, we see that for warped product submanifolds $N_1 \times_\lambda N_2$ of a Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$, one of the factors is an anti-invariant submanifold of $\overline{M}$. Thus, if the manifolds N_θ and $N_\perp$ are slant and anti-invariant submanifolds of Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$, then their possible warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds may be given by one of the following forms:

(a) $N_\perp \times_\lambda N_\theta$,

(b) $N_\theta \times_\lambda N_\perp$.

The above two types of warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds are trivial if the structure vector field ξ is tangent to N_θ and $N_\perp$, respectively. Here, we are concerned with the other two cases for the above two types of warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds $N_\perp \times_\lambda N_\theta$ and $N_\theta \times_\lambda N_\perp$ when ξ is in $TN_\perp$ and in TN_θ, respectively.

For the warped product of the type (a), we have

Theorem 4.1. *There do not exist the warped product Pseudo-slant submanifolds $M = N_\perp \times {}_\lambda N_\theta$ where $N_\perp$ is an anti-invariant and N_θ is a proper slant submanifold of a Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ such that ξ is tangent to $N_\perp$.*

Proof. For any $X \in TN_\theta$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$, we have

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi\right) Z = \overline{\nabla}_X \phi Z - \phi \overline{\nabla}_X Z. \tag{4.3}$$

Using (2.3), (2.5), (2.6), and the fact that ξ is tangent to $N_\perp$, we obtain

$$-\eta(Z)X = -A_{FZ}X + \nabla^\perp_X FZ - P\nabla_X Z - F\nabla_X Z - th(X,Z) - fh(X,Z). \tag{4.4}$$

Comparing tangential and normal parts, we get

$$\eta(Z)X = A_{FZ}X + P\nabla_X Z + th(X,Z) \tag{4.5}$$

Equation (4.5) takes the form on using (3.2) as

$$\eta(Z)X = A_{FZ}X + (Z\ln\lambda)PX + th(X,Z). \tag{4.6}$$

Taking product with PX, the left hand side of the above equation is zero using the fact that X and PX are mutually orthogonal vector fields. Then

$$0 = g(A_{FZ}X, PX) + (Z\ln\lambda)g(PX, PX) + g(th(X,Z), PX). \tag{4.7}$$

Using (2.7), (2.11) and the fact that ξ is tangent to $N_\perp$, we get

$$(Z\ln\lambda)\cos^2\theta\|X\|^2 = g(h(X,Z), FPX) - g(h(X,PX), FZ). \tag{4.8}$$

As $\theta \neq \pi/2$, then interchanging X by PX and taking account of (2.10), we obtain

$$(Z\ln\lambda)\cos^4\theta\|X\|^2 = -\cos^2\theta g(h(PX,Z), FX) + \cos^2\theta g(h(X,PX), FZ) \tag{4.9}$$

or

$$(Z\ln\lambda)\cos^2\theta\|X\|^2 = g(h(X,PX), FZ) - g(h(PX,Z), FX). \tag{4.10}$$

Adding equations (4.8) and (4.10), we get

$$2(Z\ln\lambda)\cos^2\theta\|X\|^2 = g(h(X,Z),FPX) - g(h(PX,Z),FX). \tag{4.11}$$

The right hand side of the above equation is zero by Lemma 3.1(iv); then

$$(Z\ln\lambda)\cos^2\theta\|X\|^2 = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

Since N_θ is proper slant and X is nonnull, then

$$Z\ln\lambda = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

In particular, for $Z = \xi \in TN_\perp$, Lemma 3.1 (i) implies that $\xi\ln\lambda = 0$. This means that λ is constant on $N_\perp$. Hence the theorem is proved. □

Now, the other case is dealt with in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M = N_T \times_\lambda N_\perp$ be a warped product submanifold of a Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ such that N_T is an invariant submanifold tangent to ξ and $N_\perp$ is an anti-invariant submanifold of $\overline{M}$. Then $(\overline{\nabla}_X F)Z$ lies in the invariant normal subbundle for each $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$.*

Proof. As $M = N_T \times_\lambda N_\perp$ is a warped product submanifold with ξ tangent to N_T, then by (2.3),

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X \phi\right)Z = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

for any $X \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$. Using this fact in the formula

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_U \phi\right)V = \overline{\nabla}_U \phi V - \phi\overline{\nabla}_U V \tag{4.15}$$

for each $U, V \in T\overline{M}$, thus, we obtain

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \phi Z = \phi\overline{\nabla}_X Z. \tag{4.16}$$

Then from (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$-A_{FZ}X + \nabla^\perp_X FZ = \phi(\nabla_X Z + h(X,Z)). \tag{4.17}$$

Which on using (2.8) and (2.9) yields

$$-A_{FZ}X + \nabla^\perp_X FZ = P\nabla_X Z + F\nabla_X Z + th(X,Z) + fh(X,Z). \tag{4.18}$$

From the normal components of the above equation, formula (3.2) gives

$$\nabla^\perp_X FZ = (X\ln\lambda)FZ + fh(X,Z). \tag{4.19}$$

Taking the product in (4.19) with FW_1 for any $W_1 \in TN_\perp$, we get

$$g\left(\nabla^\perp_X FZ, FW_1\right) = (X \ln \lambda) g(FZ, FW_1) + g(fh(X, Z), FW_1) \tag{4.20}$$

or

$$g\left(\nabla^\perp_X FZ, FW_1\right) = (X \ln \lambda) g(\phi Z, \phi W_1) + g(\phi h(X, Z), \phi W_1). \tag{4.21}$$

Then from (2.2), we have

$$g\left(\nabla^\perp_X FZ, FW_1\right) = (X \ln \lambda) g(Z, W_1). \tag{4.22}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X F\right) Z = \nabla^\perp_X FZ - F\nabla_X Z. \tag{4.23}$$

Taking the product in (4.23) with FW_1 for any $W_1 \in TN_\perp$ and using (4.22), (2.2), (3.2), and the fact that ξ is tangential to N_T, we obtain that

$$g\left(\left(\overline{\nabla}_X F\right) Z, FW_1\right) = 0, \tag{4.24}$$

for any $X \in TN_T$ and $Z, W_1 \in TN_\perp$.

Now, if $W_2 \in TN_T$ then using the formula (4.23), we get

$$g\left(\left(\overline{\nabla}_X F\right) Z, \phi W_2\right) = g\left(\nabla^\perp_X FZ, \phi W_2\right) - g(F\nabla_X Z, \phi W_2). \tag{4.25}$$

As N_T is an invariant submanifold, then $\phi W_2 \in TN_T$ for any $W_2 \in TN_T$, thus using the fact that the product of tangential component with normal is zero, we obtain that

$$g\left(\left(\overline{\nabla}_X F\right) Z, \phi W_2\right) = 0, \tag{4.26}$$

for any $X, W_2 \in TN_T$ and $Z \in TN_\perp$. Thus from (4.24) and (4.26), it follows that $(\overline{\nabla}_X F)Z \in \mu$. Thus the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestion and comments which have improved this paper.

References

[1] A. Lotta, "Slant submanifolds in contact geometry," *Bulletin Mathematique de la Société Des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie*, vol. 39, pp. 183–198, 1996.
[2] J. L. Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L. M. Fernández, and M. Fernández, "Semi-slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold," *Geometriae Dedicata*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 183–199, 1999.
[3] J. L. Cabrerizo, A. Carriazo, L. M. Fernández, and M. Fernández, "Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds," *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 125–138, 2000.
[4] R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, "Manifolds of negative curvature," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 145, pp. 1–49, 1969.
[5] B.-Y. Chen, "Geometry of warped product CR-submanifolds in Kaehler manifolds," *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, vol. 133, no. 3, pp. 177–195, 2001.
[6] K. A. Khan, V. A. Khan, and Siraj-Uddin, "Warped product submanifolds of cosymplectic manifolds," *Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 55–65, 2008.
[7] M.-I. Munteanu, "A note on doubly warped product contact CR-submanifolds in trans-Sasakian manifolds," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, vol. 116, no. 1-2, pp. 121–126, 2007.
[8] B. Sahin, "Nonexistence of warped product semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds," *Geometriae Dedicata*, vol. 117, pp. 195–202, 2006.
[9] I. Hasegawa and I. Mihai, "Contact CR-warped product submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds," *Geometriae Dedicata*, vol. 102, pp. 143–150, 2003.
[10] D. E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, vol. 509 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1976.
[11] B. Ünal, "Doubly warped products," *Differential Geometry and Its Applications*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 253–263, 2001.
[12] S. Uddin, "On warped product CR-submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds," submitted.


Advances in
Operations Research
Advances in
Decision Sciences
Journal of
Applied Mathematics
Algebra
Journal of
Probability and Statistics
The Scientific
World Journal
International Journal of
Differential Equations
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of
Combinatorics
Advances in
Mathematical Physics
Journal of
Complex Analysis
Journal of
Mathematics
Mathematical Problems
in Engineering
Abstract and
Applied Analysis
Discrete Dynamics in
Nature and Society
International
Journal of
Mathematics and
Mathematical
Sciences
Journal of
Discrete Mathematics
Journal of
Function Spaces
International Journal of
Stochastic Analysis
Journal of
Optimization