## International Conference on Science and Engineering of Polymeric Materials (SEPM 2014)

### March 16 - 19, 2014

Hammamet, TUNISIA



### Effect of wood heat treatment on the dynamic mechanical and impact properties of injection moulded wood/LDPE composites

Aziz HASSAN, Ruth Anayimi LAFIA-ARAGA, Rosiyah YAHYA and Normasmira ABD. RAHMAN

> Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

## Introduction

Wood thermoplastic composites (WTC) are any composites that contains wood and a plastic

Why use wood in thermoplastic composites?

- •Abundantly available
- •Renewable
- •Environmentally friendly
- •Relatively cheap
- •Low density/good strength to weight ratio
- •Good tribological properties

However, wood is .....

- •Polar
- •Hygroscopic

## Wood modification methods

≻Chemical

- •Coupling agent
- •Benzylation
- •Alkanization
- •Alkylation
- •Silanization

>Physical

- •Corona or Plasma treatment
- •Densification

BiologicalEnzymatic modification

≻Thermal Treatment

### Thermal treatment

Thermal treatment changes the chemistry of wood:

•Hemicelluloses are degraded

•Lignin softens, flows and blocks the cell pores, thereby contributing to reduction in moisture absorption

•Cross-linking takes place between carbohydrate polymers or between lignin and carbohydrate polymers

•Increased crystallinity of amorphous cellulose

•Improved dimensional stability

•Polarity is reduced, resulting in reduced hydrophilicity

## Motivation

•PE possesses desirable processing characteristics such as low melting temperature, high melt strength and relatively low viscosity

• Excellent toughness, exhibited in the puncture resistance of its films, drop strength of blown bottles and impact resistance of moulded items

•The ability of composites from PE to withstand sudden impact is of great importance for any practical application of the material

•Heat treatment presents an environmentally friendly method of modifying wood as no chemicals are used and no effluent generated

•Composites from Red Balau waste and LDPE will extend the applications of LDPE beyond the traditional use in films and packaging

## Objectives

•To modify red balau saw dust using heat treatment for use as fillers in WTC

•To assess the effect of heat treatment on the chemical changes in the wood flour

•Investigate the effects of heat treatment of wood flour on the dynamic mechanical and impact properties of the composites







General applications of WTC

### EXPERIMENTAL

≻Materials – Red balau wood flour 40-100 mesh (400-150 µm)

LDPE - (Titanlen LDI300YY), Density : 920 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, MFI : 20 g/10 min, Molecular mass : 350,000 - 380,000 g/mol

➢Wood pretreatment - Wood flour was subjected to 180°C and 200°C in an oven for 1 hour effective treatment time

≻FTIR-ATR

•Instrument - Spotlight 400, Perkin Elmer, USA combined with a universal ATR accessory

•Resolution - 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 64 scans in the range of 650-4,000 cm<sup>-1</sup>

### ≻Processing

Compounding

- Wood flour applied at 20% and 37% by weight
- Instrument Brabender KETSE 20/40, twin screw extruder
- •Screw speed : 250 rpm
- •Barrel temperature : 150°C-155°C

Injection molding •Instrument - BOY 55M injection molding machine •Barrel temperature : 150°C – 155°C •Injection pressure : 100 – 120 bars •Mould temperature : 25°C

- Characterization
- DMA
- -Instrument TA Q800 Dynamic mechanical analyzer, TA Instruments -Testing mode – Three point bending
- -Support span 50 mm
- -Specimen dimensions 60.0 x13.0 x 3.3 mm
- -Scan range  $-100^{\circ}$ C to  $100^{\circ}$ C
- -Scan rate 2°C/min
- -Frequency 1 Hz
- -Amplitude 15 µm
- •Notched charpy impact test
- Instrument Instron Dynatup 9210, USA
- Sample dimension 6 mm x 12 mm x 80 mm
- Impactor load 6.448 kg
- Impactor velocity 2.9238 m s<sup>-1</sup>
- Impact energy 13.95 J

#### Formulations of the composites

| Sample code              | Weight of<br>LDPE (%) | Weight of<br>wood flour<br>(%) | Treatment<br>temperature<br>(°C) |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| LDPE/W <sub>UN/9</sub>   | 91                    | 9                              | -                                |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>UN/20</sub>  | 80                    | 20                             | -                                |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>UN/37</sub>  | 63                    | 37                             | -                                |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>180/9</sub>  | 91                    | 9                              | 180                              |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>180/20</sub> | 80                    | 20                             | 180                              |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>180/37</sub> | 63                    | 37                             | 180                              |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>200/9</sub>  | 91                    | 9                              | 200                              |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>200/20</sub> | 80                    | 20                             | 200                              |  |  |
| LDPE/W <sub>200/37</sub> | 63                    | 37                             | 200                              |  |  |

# **R E S U L T S** A N D **DISCUSSION**

## Characterisation of wood flour



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra of heat treated and untreated red balau saw dust.

## Dynamic mechanical behaviour

### Storage modulus



Fig 3: Storage modulus curves of untreated and heat treated WTC as a function of a) wood content and b) heat treatment

# Loss modulus



Fig 4: Loss modulus curves of untreated and heat treated WTC as a function of a) wood content and b) heat treatment

# Tan delta



Fig 5: Tan delta curves of untreated and heat treated WTC as a function of a) wood content and b) heat treatment

## Table 2: DMA data of red balau/LDPE composites containing untreated and heat treated wood flour

| Sample Treatm<br>(°C)      | Treatment           | Tan delta           |   |              | Storage<br>E'             | Storage modulus             |                               | Loss modulus E"             |  |                            |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|
|                            | temperature<br>(°C) | $Tan  \delta_{max}$ | Temperature<br>at<br>$\tan \delta_{max}$<br>(C) | $W_{\rm v2}$ | Tan δ <sub>25°</sub><br>c | E′ <sub>25°C</sub><br>(GPa) | E' <sub>-100°C</sub><br>(GPa) | E″ <sub>25°C</sub><br>(MPa) | $(\mathbf{C})^{\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{E}''}}$ | E" <sub>Max</sub><br>(MPa) |
| LDPE                       | -                   | 0.16                | 39.1  | 74.1         | 0.15                      | 0.26                        | 3.3                           | 40.0                        | -25.0                                      | 140.1                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>UN/9/0</sub>   | -                   | 0.16                | 42.5  | 72.7         | 0.15                      | 0.40                        | 4.1                           | 59.2                        | -19.0                                      | 170.3                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>180/9/0</sub>  | 180.0               | 0.16                | 43.2  | 73.4         | 0.15                      | 0.31                        | 3.1                           | 46.6                        | -18.1                                      | 129.8                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>200/9/0</sub>  | 200.0               | 0.16                | 44.1  | 73.5         | 0.15                      | 0.31                        | 3.1                           | 46.5                        | -18.2                                      | 130.4                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>UN/20/0</sub>  | -                   | 0.15                | 45.9  | 70.5         | 0.14                      | 0.57                        | 4.8                           | 80.0                        | -19.1                                      | 195.6                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>180/20/0</sub> | 180.0               | 0.16                | 49.4  | 66.0         | 0.13                      | 0.56                        | 3.8                           | 67.0                        | -19.8                                      | 150.4                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>200/20/0</sub> | 200.0               | 0.16                | 49.7  | 63.1         | 0.13                      | 0.51                        | 3.8                           | 66.1                        | -18.1                                      | 150.3                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>UN/37/0</sub>  | -                   | 0.14                | 43.7  | 66.0         | 0.13                      | 0.97                        | 6.3                           | 134.0                       | -16.4                                      | 248.8                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>180/37/0</sub> | 180.0               | 0.14                | 48.1  | 66.6         | 0.12                      | 1.07                        | 5.7                           | 130.7                       | -17.2                                      | 220.5                      |
| LDPE/W <sub>200/37/0</sub> | 200.0               | 0.15                | 50.3  | 58.7         | 0.12                      | 0.76                        | 4.6                           | 93.8                        | -15.9                                      | 181.2                      |

# Impact properties



Fig. 6: Peak load as a function of notch dept for different wood content and heat treatment.



Fig. 7: Impact fractured surface of neat LDPE showing signs of ductility.



Fig. 8: Impact fractured surface of untreated wood composites at 37 wt%



Fig. 9: Impact fractured surface of composites from wood treated at 200°C at 37 wt% filler loading showing no sign of ductility.



and treatment temperature.



Fig. 11: Energy to failure as a function of wood content and treatment temperature for different a/D ratios.



temperature

## Conclusion

•Composites containing untreated wood flour exhibited higher storage and loss modulus than those made from heat treated wood flour

•The tan delta width decreased generally with wood content and heat treatment, indicating reduced damping

•Tan delta maximum decreased with wood content but increased marginally with heat treatment

•*P* and  $K_c$  decreased with both wood content and treatment temperature

•*W* and  $G_c$  decreased with wood content but the  $G_c$  is highest in composites made from wood flour treated at 180°C, and reduced in 200°C heat treated wood composites

•Heat treatment of wood flour at appropriate treatment temperature produced composites with better compatibility and improved dynamic mechanical and impact performance

## Acknowledgments

- University of Malaya for sponsoring the work reported in this presentation
- Research group members

