CONTROL OF A HYDROLYZER USING NEURAL-NETWORK BASED CONTROLLER
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ABSTRACT

Hydrolyzer is a commonly found unit operation in oleochemical industry. Control of hydrolyzer has to be done carefully since efficiency in the control of this unit will affect the yield of the process. At present conventional controllers such as PI and PID have been used to achieve the setpoint especially under presence of disturbances. In this study, neural network have been applied as an alternative to cope with the dynamics behavior of the hydrolyzer. Two types of control strategies namely, direct inverse controller (DIC) and internal model controller (IMC) were implemented in the control system. Two sets of data were used to develop the DIC and IMC. The controllers were evaluated on the ability to track set-points, load disturbance and noise disturbance test and the IMC was found to be the most versatile controller.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, continuous counter-current splitting process has been a common application in oleochemical industry to hydrolyze oil. Fat-splitting or hydrolysis is the process of decomposing fats into acids and glycerol by subjecting them in the presence of water to high temperature and corresponding pressure. This system involves counter flow of oil and water (as reactants), where reaction and mass transfer occur at the same time.

Figure 1 gives an overall view to describe the hydrolyzer. Water is fed in excess from the top while tryglyceride is fed from the bottom of the splitter. Fatty acids and glycerol are the reaction products. Fatty acids will flow upwards and discharge at the top at the splitter. Glycerol will dissolve in water and discharge as glycerol-water at the bottom of the splitter.

Operating a hydrolyzer can be difficult especially when temperature of the reactants fluctuate. This problem occurs due to insufficient energy supply to heat up the reactant before entering the hydrolyzer. Changes in reactant flow rate to meet production demand also cause the process temperature to be unstable. In order to maintain the process temperature, steam is introduced into the system at top and bottom of the hydrolyzer. At present, conventional controllers such as PI and PID controllers have been used to control the process temperature. However, the action by the conventional controllers is not able to
achieve the desired set-point especially under the presence of noise disturbances. Consequently, low quality of final product was produce and can be consider a loss in the oleochemical industry.

In recent years, an active interest in the development and application of nonlinear control methodologies has emerged. Upsurge in research on neural networks, had made it readily available as an attractive method for identifying nonlinear processes (Hussain, 1999). Most model-based control strategies made use of nonlinear black-box techniques to model the relationship between process input and output variables. This presents of advantage of bypassing the complexity and the uncertainty of physical systems (Chen et al, 2004).

In this study, the neural network based controllers were used to manipulate the steam rates for both, the top and bottom of the column to control the temperature of the hydrolyzer. Two types of control strategies namely, Direct Inverse Controller (DIC) and Internal Model Controller (IMC) had been studied and applied to the system. The performances of the controllers were evaluated based on its ability to cope with setpoint tracking test, disturbance rejection test and noise disturbance test. In addition, the stability of the output responses of the controllers also had been evaluated as unstable responses will cause valve failure leading to production loss.

![Fig. 1: Model of a Hydrolyzer](image1)

**NEURAL NETWORK**

In human brain, neurons within nervous system interact in a complex fashion. Human senses detect stimuli and send this information to brain via neurons. Within the brain, other neurons are excited and they interact with each other. Based on the input, the brain reaches
a conclusion and sends an output in the form of an answer or response. Main function of
input layer is to receive information from an external source and passes this information to
the network. This information will be processed in the hidden layer. Then, processed
information will be sent to the output layer. Finally, output layer transmit the result to
external receptor. Figure 2 shows the general architecture for the neural network.
Interconnection between layers of each neurons associate with network weights. From the
modeling point of view, these weights are analogous to model parameters which regulate
the influence of each variable on overall model performance. Thus, network weights serve
as a measure for connection strength that controls the influence of each incoming signal to
the recipient neuron.

**Neural Network Model Development**

In order to develop an accurate neural network model, sufficient data are required. Thus,
the training of the input-output signals acquired in an open loop and the control signals was
generated in a pseudo-random function. For this study, a mathematical model had been
developed to generate data to train the network. Three sets of data were generated to
develop neural-network based controllers.

Generally, development of a neural network consists of 3 stages. The first stage involved
training of the network which was most time consuming. The network accomplished this
mapping by first learning from a series of past examples defining sets of input and output
corresponding for the given system. In the second stage, a set of input data introduced to
the network for testing. The network then applied what it had learned to a new input pattern
to predict the appropriate output (Barrati, 1997; Dirion, 1996). The output generated will
be compared with the actual output. Once an acceptable error was obtained, another new set
of data was introduced to the network in the final stage for validation. Objective of the last
stage was to ensure the reliability of the network to simulate the require output.

**Inverse model based controllers**

Two types of controllers are used for the study, i.e. internal model control (IMC) and Direct
Inverse Controller (DIC). The DIC strategy consists of neural network inverse model that
act as a controller placed in series with the process under control (Daosaud et al., 2005). In
this scheme, the desired set-point acts as the desired output which is fed to the network
together with the past plant inputs and outputs to predict the desired current system input
(Hussain, 1999). Since the control of temperature in a hydrolyzer involves control of the
steam rate injected into the top and bottom of the system, two neural networks will be
applied in the system as controllers.

Neural network based IMC incorporate both forward and inverse model in the control
scheme. The forward model which represents the dynamic of the process placed in parallel
with the system to cater for plant or model mismatches during implementation. On the
other hand, the inverse model will act as a controller. In this scheme the error between the
plant output and the neural network forward model is substrated from the set-point before
being fed into the inverse model (Hussain, 1999). With the mismatch detection feature, the internal-model based controller can be used to drive the controlled parameter to desired set-point when noise disturbance introduce into the system. As two controllers are required, two IMC systems are needed to be developed. The details can be seen in (Hussain and Kershenbaum, 2000).

The neural inverse models had a 10-9-1 and 8-5-1 structure for the top and bottom control system respectively.

**SIMULATION RESULTS**

Main objective of this simulation study is to maintain the optimum process condition within the hydrolyzer. Steam rate at the top and bottom need to be manipulate in order to achieve this objective.

**Set-Point Tracking Test**

During start-up and shut-down operation of the hydrolyzer, the temperatures are required to change slowly. Drastic temperature changes may cause thermal shock scenario, where cracking of construction material may occur and become a safety issue in the plant. Hence, the temperature step change would be the best practice during start-up and shut-down of the system.

In the set point tracking study, the controller was subjected to several set point changes. First, the temperature was reduced from 250°C to 120°C which reflected the shut-down scenario of the system. Then, the temperature was increased from 120°C to 250°C, which represented the start-up scenario of the hydrolyzer. This set point tracking test applied to DIC and IMC designed for this system. Set-point tracking test was also applied on the conventional controller in order to compare the performance with the neural network based controller.

Figure 3 shows the response at the top of the hydrolyzer for the set-point tracking test. The controllers relatively generated the low overshoot and offset value through out the test. However, in term of control of steam flow rate, the DIC was better than the PID and IMC controller since the DIC generated lower fluctuation rate. In Figure 4, the set-point tracking on the bottom temperature also shows the similar scenario, where all the controllers were capable to trace the desired set-point and the DIC generated more stable control of steam flow rate. With stable action by the DIC, the maintenance for the valve is expected to reduce significantly and effectively reduce the shutdown time of the plant. From the stability point of view, the DIC was more superior followed by the IMC and PID.
Fig. 3: Set-Point Tracking for the Top of the Hydrolyzer

Fig. 4: Set-Point Tracking for the Bottom of the Hydrolyzer
**Rejection Disturbance Test**

In a hydrolyzer, the top and bottom temperatures will fluctuate due to the presence of process disturbances. The process disturbances include the changes in water temperature and water flow rate. Each of the test started at steady state condition and the state variable started to change at time = 1 min. The controllers were implemented into the system after the disturbance introduced into the system for 167 minutes. For the rejection disturbance test, the water temperature changed from 80°C to 92°C at time = 1 min. The values of other state variables remained the same throughout this test.

Figure 5 shows the performance of the controllers to control the hydrolyzer top temperature. When the PID implemented into the system, the temperature was able return to the set-point with minor overshoot and fluctuation. High fluctuation rate was observed at the steam flow rate control. In contrast the, DIC and IMC were able to control top temperature to the desired set-point with low fluctuation rate of steam flow rate.

The change of water temperature did not affect the bottom temperature significantly. Figure 6 shows that the controllers were able to bring the temperature to desired set-point with minor complication. As the test concluded, both DIC and IMC were found to generate lower fluctuation rate compared to the PID.

![Fig. 5: Results for Disturbance Test (Top Temperature)]
Fig. 6: Results for Disturbance Test (Bottom Temperature)

Fig. 7: Results for Noise Disturbance Test (Top Temperature)
Figure 7 shows the results for rejection of disturbance under noisy data, showing the IMC being most capable of handling the noise.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on evaluation the controller performance, the IMC and DIC have showed their ability in set point tracking and can be used as the control system during the start-up and shut-down of the hydrolyzer. Taking into consideration of the stability of the output signal, the response generated by the IMC is preferred as the output signal generated to control the steam flow rate is more stable and could minimize the failure of the steam control valve. The IMC was also able to cater for the noise disturbance and generated stable output signal compared to the PID and DIC. In conclusion, IMC was a more versatile controller since it capable of coping with set-point tracking, load disturbances and noise disturbance test.
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